

Authors name(s) and surname(s), title of the paper:	Referee:
--	-----------------

Page 1 of Evaluation Form: ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Date:	YES	NO	Comments
Is the article within the scope of the Journal?			
Is the title accurate and informative?			
Does the work constitute an original contribution?			
Are the methods sound?			
Is the work clearly presented?			
Are the interpretations and conclusions supported by the presented data?			
Are the conclusions appropriately formulated?			
Is the abstract accurate and informative?			
Is the text structure of the paper (headings/subheadings) correct?			
Is the quality of English language adequate?			
Are the figures accurately described?			
Are the figures of good quality?			
Are the tables of acceptable quality?			
Are the equations accurate and informative?			
Are the units appropriate?			
Are the references sufficient?			
Is the reference list in accordance with the instructions to Authors?			

Accept without change	
Accept after minor revision	
Re-evaluate after major revision	
Reject (please give reasons)	

Please mark your decision with an X in the appropriate box. If you cannot answer with yes or no put in NA. If you have additional comments, please mark the appropriate Comments cell with an X and use form 2/3.

Authors name(s) and surname(s), title of the paper:	Referee:
--	-----------------

Page 2 of Evaluation Form: ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Additional Comments on the Manuscript for the Authors

Please use additional pages if necessary.

Authors name(s) and surname(s), title of the paper:	Referee:
--	-----------------

Page 3 of Evaluation Form: ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Additional Comments on the Manuscript for the Editor

--